2018 APR - A PM 4: 49 V

JEANNE DECKS, OLEKA

BY: S! KELBAUGH BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro hac vice) 1 Brad.Brian@mto.com 2 LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice) Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac vice) 3 Truc.Do@mto.com 4 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor 5 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 6 THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025) 7 tskelly@kellydefense.com 425 E. Gurley 8 Prescott, Arizona 86301 Telephone: (928) 445-5484 9 Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR RAY 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF ARIZONA 11 COUNTY OF YAVAPAI 12. STATE OF ARIZONA. CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049 13 Plaintiff, **DECLARATION OF TRUC T. DO IN** 14 VS. SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT JAMES JAMES ARTHUR RAY, ARTHUR RAY'S OPPOSITION TO 15 MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS Defendant. **DUCES TECUM** 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DECLARATION OF TRUC T. DO

I, Truc T. Do, hereby declare:

- 1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Munger, Tolles and Olson LLP, and am one of the attorneys for Defendant James Arthur Ray in the above-captioned matter. I am duly admitted to practice in the State of California and am admitted as *pro hac vice* in the State of Arizona on this matter. I make this declaration in support of Mr. Ray's opposition to the State's motion to quash subpoenas *duces tecum*.
- 2. On February 10, 2010, the State produced, in its First Supplemental Disclosure, the autopsy reports and photographs and a two-page summary from the Verde Valley Fire Department regarding its response to the Sedona sweat lodge on October 8, 2009. There were no records of the decedents' and participants' vital statistics, symptoms, or diagnosis, from the numerous emergency responders and medical providers who rendered medical assistance, which were necessary to the defense investigation of cause of death and injury.
- 3. I consulted with Thomas K. Kelly, local counsel, regarding the normal and customary practice used by defense counsel in Yavapai County to obtain documentary evidence in a criminal case. Mr. Kelly advised me that documentary evidence may be obtained on behalf of a criminal defendant by preparing subpoenas *duces tecum* for issuance by the Court. As long as the subpoenas are not directed at persons under the direction or control of the prosecutor (for example, police officers or crime labs), the subpoenas may be issued without notice to the State and specify that responsive documents be produced to defense counsel.
- 4. Mr. Kelly further advised me, that based on his experience and practice, paramedics, hospitals, fire departments and coroners are not considered persons under the direction or control of the prosecutor. Furthermore, it has been Mr. Kelly's experience that the Yavapai County Attorney believes it does not have an obligation to actively seek out information from such agencies for the purpose of aiding the defense in its preparation for trial. As a result, I prepared ten (10) subpoenas duces tecum and Mr. Kelly's office submitted them to the Court for issuance on March 16, 2010. Attached collectively as Exhibit A are true and correct copies of the *subpoenas duces tecum*.

- 1 -

5. To date, none of the subpoenaed parties has contacted me or my office to refuse compliance with the subpoenas.

- 6. On March 19, 2010, I received a letter from Deputy County Attorney Bill Hughes, in which the State accused defense counsel of "illegally prepar[ing]" the subpoenas and demanded their immediate withdrawal. Additionally, Mr. Hughes suggested defense counsel had committed the misdemeanor crime of knowingly sending or delivering a "document falsely purporting to be an order or other document that simulates civil or criminal process," in violation of Arizona Revised Statutes § 13-2814. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Mr. Hughes' March 19, 2010 letter.
- 7. On March 22, 2010, I wrote Mr. Hughes a reply letter, in which I responded to the legal merits of his demand and, to obviate any further dispute, invited the State to obtain and disclose the information and materials sought in the subpoenas *duces tecum*. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of my letter dated March 22, 2010.
- 8. The State never responded to my letter of March 22, 2010. Instead, the State filed the instant motion to quash on March 25, 2010.
- 9. On March 22, 2010, I received the State's Second Supplemental Disclosure, which was forwarded to me by Mr. Kelly's office. I began reviewing this disclosure on March 23, 2010 and finished my review on March 26, 2010. Thereafter, it appeared to me that, with a few exceptions, the State's second disclosure contained documents identical to those sought by the subpoenas. I did not understand why Mr. Hughes did not simply point out that the State had provided much of what the defense sought in the subpoenas, either in his initial letter of March 19 or in a response to my letter of March 22.
- 10. On March 30, 2010, I emailed Mr. Hughes to let him know the dispute appeared moot and suggested the parties resolve this matter informally to avoid litigating an unnecessary motion to quash. On March 31, 2010, Mr. Hughes offered to withdraw the motion to quash on two conditions: (1) the defense agrees to take reasonable steps to withdraw any subpoena *duces tecum* issued; and (2) the defense "agree not to utilize subpoenas *duces tecum* in the future without a court order authorizing the issuance of the subpoenas." The defense agreed to the first

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

and not the second condition. On April 1, 2010, Mr. Hughes responded that the State would not
withdraw the motion to quash without Mr. Ray's agreement to all of its conditions. Attached as
Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the email chain containing the March 30, 2010 to April 1,
2010 emails.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed this 8th day of April 2010 at Los Angeles, California.

Truc T. Do

27

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro Brad.Brian@mto.com LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice) Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac Truc.Do@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floot Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025 tskelly@kellydefense.com 425 E. Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301 Telephone: (928) 445-5484 Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR	vice) or
10		
11		OF STATE OF ARIZONA OF YAVAPAI
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	Plaintiff,	
14	VS.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Yavapai County Medical Examiner)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	10205147 1	1 -

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:	
2	YAVAPAI COUNTY OFFICE OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	980 Division Street	
5	Prescott, Arizona 86301	
6		
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at	
8	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the	
9	following items:	
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
11	records, memoranda, raw notes, or writings of any kind,	
12	related to the 10/09/09 autopsy of JAMES SHORE (09-340)	
13	and KIRBY BROWN (09-339)	
14		
15	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
16		
17	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: fraun delbarg	
18	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
19		
20	RETURN OF SERVICE:	
21	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 16 day of March, 2010,	
22	upon Joseph Coff , by showing the original to that person and	
23	informing him/houseful a content of a content	
24	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
24	informing him/her of the contents thereof. Carb GAGP A	
25	informing nim/ner of the contents thereof.	
	informing nim/ner of the contents thereof.	
25	informing nim/ner of the contents thereof.	

1	BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro Brad.Brian@mto.com	o hac vice)
2	LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice)	
3	Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac	vice)
4	Truc.Do@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor	or
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100	•
6	· · · · ·	×
7	THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025 tskelly@kellydefense.com 425 E. Gurley)
8	Prescott, Arizona 86301 Telephone: (928) 445-5484	
9	Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR	RAY
10	•	
11		OF STATE OF ARIZONA OF YAVAPAI
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	ř	CASE NO. VCRISOUCIZOTOBOOTS
14	Plaintiff, vs.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Coconino Medical Examiner)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2425		
-		
25		

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:	
2	COCONINO OFFICE OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	2500 North Fort Valley Road	
5	Flagstaff, Arizona 86001	
6		
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at	
8	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the	
9	following items:	
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
11	records, memoranda, raw notes, or writings of any kind,	
12	related to the 10/19/09 autopsy of LIZBETH MARIE	
13	NEUMAN (09-446).	
14		
15	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
16		
17	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: June 16 Band	
18	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
19	!	
20		
21	RETURN OF SERVICE:	
22	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the Aday of March, 2010,	
23	upon <u>YASALY</u> , by showing the original to that person and	
24	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
25	Morning him/her of the contents thereof.	
26		
27		
28		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		vice) or
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	Plaintiff,	
14	VS.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Sedona Medical Center)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:	
2	SEDONA MEDICAL CENTER	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	3700 West Highway 89A	
5	Sedona, Arizona 86336	
6		
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at	
8	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the	
9	following items:	
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
11	records, memoranda, notes, or writings of any kind, related to	
12	the 10/08/09 admission, treatment, and discharge of	
13	SANDRA ANDRETTI, KIM BRINKLEY, ROBERT	
14	GRAIN, AND TERESA GRAIN.	
15		
16	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
17	DATED: March 16, 2010	
18 19	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
20		
21	RETURN OF SERVICE:	
22	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the day of March, 2010,	
23	upon, by showing the original to that person and	
24	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
25		
26		
27		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		vice) or
12		OF TAVALAL
13	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
14	Plaintiff, vs.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Flagstaff Medical Center)
16	Defendant.	,
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:
2	FLAGSTAFF MEDICAL CENTER
3	Custodian of Records
4	1200 N. Beaver Street
5	Flagstaff, Arizona 86001
6	
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at
8	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the
9	following items:
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,
11	records, memoranda, notes, or writings of any kind, related to
12	the 10/08/09 admission, treatment, and discharge of
13	LIZBETH MARIE NEUMAN, MELINDA MARTIN,
14	MARTA REIS, STEPHEN RAY, AND TERESITA WONG.
15	
16	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.
17	
18	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: four of bar
19	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
20	
21	RETURN OF SERVICE:
22	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the P day of March, 2010,
23	upon ALIA CAUSON, by showing the original to that person and
24	informing him/her of the contents thereof.
25	Dock BACTE AM
26	
27	
28	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro Brad.Brian@mto.com LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice) Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac Truc.Do@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floot Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025 tskelly@kellydefense.com 425 E. Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301 Telephone: (928) 445-5484 Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR	vice) or
11		OF YAVAPAI
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	Plaintiff,	
14	VS.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Verde Valley Medical Center)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:	
2	VERDE VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	269 S. Candy Lane	
5	Cottonwood, Arizona 86326	
6		
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at	
8	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the	
9	following items:	
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
11	records, memoranda, notes, or writings of any kind, related to	
12	the 10/08/09 admission, treatment, and discharge of JAMES	
13	SHORE, KIRBY BROWN, LINDA ANDRESANO,	
14	KRISTINA BIVENS, LOU CACI, AMI GRIMES, DENNIS	
15	MEHRAVAR, MELISSA A. PHILLIPS, BRANDY	
16	RAINEY, SEAN RONAN, and LINNETTE VEGUILLA.	
17		
18	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
19	\times	
20	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: January Colors	
21	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
22		
23	RETURN OF SERVICE:	
24	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 3 day of March, 2010,	
25	upon for mocky, by showing the original to that person and	
26	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
27	Still Bitter 1 Bitter	
28		

1	BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro	o hac vice)
2	Brad.Brian@mto.com LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice)	
3	Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac	vice)
4	Truc.Do@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
5	355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floo Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560	or
6	Telephone: (213) 683-9100	
7	THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025 tskelly@kellydefense.com)
8	425 E. Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301	
9	Telephone: (928) 445-5484	
10	Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR	RAY
11	SUPERIOR COURT C	OF STATE OF ARIZONA
	COUNTY	OF YAVAPAI
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	Plaintiff,	CUDDOENA DUGECERACUM
14	VS.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Sedona Fire District)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:	
2	SEDONA FIRE DISTRICT	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	2860 Southwest Drive	
5	Sedona, Arizona 86336	
6	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at	
7	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the	
8	following items:	
9	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
10	records, memoranda, notes, or writings of any kind, related to	
11	the evaluation, examination and/or treatment of any person in	
12	connection with Yavapai County Sheriff's Office Incident	
13	No. 09-04025 of 10/08/09 at 13850 Angel Valley Road, off	
14	Highway 89A, in Sedona, Arizona.	
15	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
16 17	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Saumukelberg	
18	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
19		
20		
21	RETURN OF SERVICE:	
22	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 19 day of March, 201	
23	upon ALA DUFORT, by showing the original to that person and	
24	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
25	informing him/her of the contents thereof. Devo Entskil Man	
26		
27		
28		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		vice) or
12	COUNTY	Jr TAVAFAI
13	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
14	Plaintiff, vs.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Cottonwood Fire Department)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

T	THE STATE OF ARIZONA 10:	
2	COTTONWOOD FIRE DEPARTMENT	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	191 S. 6 th Street	
5	Cottonwood, Arizona 86326	
6		
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at	
8	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the	
9	following items:	
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
11	records, memoranda, raw notes, or writings of any kind,	
12	related to the evaluation, examination and/or treatment of any	
13	person in connection with Yavapai County Sheriff's Office	
14	Incident No. 09-04025 of 10/08/09 at 13850 Angel Valley	
15	Road, off Highway 89A, in Sedona, Arizona.	
16		
17	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
18		
19	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Shaum telbugan	
20	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
21		
22	RETURN OF SERVICE:	
23	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the day of March, 2010,	
24	upon CHIEF MIKE CKS55 V, by showing the original to that person and	
25	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
26	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
27		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		vice) or
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	Plaintiff,	C/15/2110. V C/15/00C/12/01/00/07/
14	VS.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Verde Valley Fire District)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		·
28		

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:	
2	VERDE VALLEY FIRE DISTRICT	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	2700 E. Godard Road	
5	Cottonwood, Arizona 86326	
6		
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly at	
8	425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m., the	
9	following items:	
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
11	records, memoranda, raw notes, or writings of any kind,	
12	related to the evaluation, examination and/or treatment of any	
13	person in connection with Yavapai County Sheriff's Office	
14	Incident No. 09-04025 of 10/08/09 at 13850 Angel Valley	
15	Road, off Highway 89A, in Sedona, Arizona.	
16		
10		
17	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
17	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable. DATED: March 16, 2010 By: January Laurence	
17 18	DATED: Morch 16, 2010	
17 18 19	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Jaune felbaugh.	
17 18 19 20	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Jaune felbaugh.	
17 18 19 20 21	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Laune following. CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RETURN OF SERVICE: I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 6 day of March, 2010,	
17 18 19 20 21 22	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Jaune fellowed. CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RETURN OF SERVICE:	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Laurn Lebaugh CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RETURN OF SERVICE: I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 6 day of March, 2010, upon Janier Salking, by showing the original to that person and informing him/her of the contents thereof	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Laurn Lelbaud. CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RETURN OF SERVICE: I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 6 day of March, 2010, upon Jaylor Salking, by showing the original to that person and	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Laurn Lebaugh CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RETURN OF SERVICE: I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 6 day of March, 2010, upon Janier Salking, by showing the original to that person and informing him/her of the contents thereof	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BRAD D. BRIAN (CA Bar No. 079001, pro Brad.Brian@mto.com LUIS LI (CA Bar No. 156081, pro hac vice) Luis.Li@mto.com TRUC T. DO (CA Bar No. 191845, pro hac Truc.Do@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floot Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 THOMAS K. KELLY (AZ Bar No. 012025 tskelly@kellydefense.com 425 E. Gurley Prescott, Arizona 86301 Telephone: (928) 445-5484	vice)
10	Attorneys for Defendant JAMES ARTHUR	
11		OF STATE OF ARIZONA OF YAVAPAI
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	Plaintiff,	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
14	VS.	
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Guardian Air Helicopter)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:
2	GUARDIAN AIR HELICOPTER
3	Custodian of Records
4	6639 S. Piper Lane
5	Flagstaff, Arizona 86001
6	
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly
8	at 425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m.,
9	the following items:
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,
11	records, memoranda, raw notes, or writings of any kind,
12	related to the evaluation, examination, treatment and/or
13	transportation of any person in connection with Yavapai
14	County Sheriff's Office Incident No. 09-04025 of 10/08/09 at
15	13850 Angel Valley Road, off Highway 89A, in Sedona,
16	Arizona.
17	
18	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.
19	
20	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Showing Helbangh
21	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
22	
23	RETURN OF SERVICE:
24	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the Aday of March, 2010,
25	upon AGL-11G CAVISEN, by showing the original to that person and
26	informing him/her of the contents thereof.
27	informing him/her of the contents thereof.
28	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		vice) r
12	STATE OF ARIZONA,	CASE NO. VCR1300CR201080049
13	Plaintiff,	
14	VS.	SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
15	JAMES ARTHUR RAY,	(Verde Valley Ambulance Company)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1

- 1 -

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA to:	
2	VERDE VALLEY AMBULANCE COMPANY	
3	Custodian of Records	
4	345 East Mingus Avenue	
5	Cottonwood, Arizona 86326-3670	
6		
7	YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO PRODUCE to the Law Firm of Thomas K. Kelly	
8	at 425 E. Gurley, Prescott, Arizona 86301, on or before March 29, 2010, by 5:00 p.m.,	
9	the following items:	
10	Any and all documents, including without limitations,	
11	records, memoranda, raw notes, or writings of any kind,	
12	related to the evaluation, examination, treatment and/or	
13	transportation of any person in connection with Yavapai	
14	County Sheriff's Office Incident No. 09-04025 of 10/08/09 at	
15	13850 Angel Valley Road, off Highway 89A, in Sedona,	
16	Arizona.	
17		
18	Disobedience of this subpoena constitutes contempt of court is so punishable.	
19		
20	DATED: March 16, 2010 By: Showing telbour	
21	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
22		
23	RETURN OF SERVICE:	
24	I hereby certify that I served a copy of the above subpoena on the 2 day of March, 2010,	
25	upon Kim mookk, by showing the original to that person and	
26	informing him/her of the contents thereof.	
27	100 MARIN, MIT	
28		

EXHIBIT B



Yavapai County Attorney

255 East Gurley Street Prescott, AZ 86301 (928) 771-3344 (Criminal) (928) 771-3338 (Civil) Facsimile (928) 771-3110

SHEILA POLK Yavapai County Attorney

March 19, 2010

VIA FACSIMILE (213/ 683-4005 & 928/ 445-0414) & US MAIL

Luis Li, Esq. Munger, Tolles & Olson L.L.P. 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560

Thomas Kelly, Esq. 425 East Gurley Street Prescott, AZ 86301

Rc: State v. James Ray

Dear Luis & Tom:

We recently became aware that you had subpoenas duces tecum issued in connection with the State v. James Arthur Ray case. These subpoenas were illegally prepared and delivered.

A.R.S. §13-4071 permits a defendant to obtain a subpoena only for the appearance of a witness before the court. This statute specifically forbids the use of such a subpoena to procure discovery in a criminal case. Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 15.1 governs disclosure of discoverable items. It is well settled that a defendant may not use a subpoena to circumvent Rule 15.1. See Carpenter v. Superior Court, 176 Ariz. 486, 862 P.2d 246 (App. 1993).

Arizona law prohibits simulating legal process See A.R.S. § 13-2814. Please immediately withdraw the subpoenas duces tecum that you have improperly caused to be issued and delivered.

Deputy County Attorney

BRH/me

EXHIBIT C

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

ROBERT K JOHNSON'
ALAN V FRIEDMAN'
ROMALD L OLSON'
RICHARD S VOLPERT
DENNIS C BROWN'
ROBERT L DELINB
RICHARD S VOLPERT
DENNIS C BROWN'
ROBERT L DELI ANGELO
ROBERT E DENHAM
BUTTON E DENDAN
RICHARD S MADE
ROBERT E DENHAM
RICHARD S JOHNAN
ROMALD K MEYER
RORGEN BORGAL
ROMALD K MEYER
RORGER M GARVEY
RICHARD JO SULLIAN
RELLY M MAUS
RECORD PHILLIPS
RECORD HAM SHOULD
AND E GOLDHAN
REVIN S MASUDA
ROBERT B KNAISS
STEPHEN M KRISTOWICH
JOHN W SPIEGEL
RERN' E SANCHEZ
DANID B GOLDHAN
RISTIN LING S LEFFRIES
RECORD J WENDAM
ROBERT B KNAISS
STEPHEN M KRISTOWICH
JOHN W SPIEGEL
DANID B TREE
ROBERT B KNAISS
STEPHEN M KRISTOWICH
JOHN W SPIEGEL
DANID B GOLDHAN
ROSEN LEFFRIES
RECORD J WENDAM
ROBERT B KNAISS
REPTEN
MACHAEL R DOYEN
MICHAEL R DOYN MICHAEL
MICHAEL R DOYN MICHAEL
MICHAEL R DOYN MICH

355 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE
THIRTY-FIFTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-1560
TELEPHONE (213) 683-9100
FACSIMILE (213) 687-3702

560 MISSION STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-2907

TELEPHONE 1415) 512-4000

FACSIMILE (415) 512-4077

March 22, 2010

MONIKA S WIENER
LYNN HEALEY SCADUTO
ERIC J LORENZINI
MATHERINE K HJANG
LINDSA! D MCCASKILL
KATE K ANDERSON
ALISON J MARKOVITZ
SUSAN TRAUB BOYO
BRIAN R HOCHEUTINER
GRANT HOCHEUTINER
GRANT HOCHEUTINER
GRANT HOCHEUTINER
LEINER L POSE
BRIAN R HOCHEUTINEN
LIKAC MYAKE
KELINDA EADES LEMOINE
ANDREW SDNO
LIKAC MYAKE
KELINDA EADES LEMOINE
ANDREW SDNO
STORMAND JOSHAN
MICHELE T FRIEDLAND
LIKAC MYAKE
KELINDA EADES LEMOINE
ANDREW SDNO
STORMAND JOSHAN
MICHELE T FRIEDLAND
LIKAC MYAKE
KELINDA EADES LEMOINE
ANDREW SDNO
STORMAND
STORMAND
JULIO C CANTOR
ADLA RAFEEDER
GENEVIEWE A COX
MIRHAM LIM
MISTY M SANFORD
BRIAN P DUFF
AIMEE FEINBERG
LIKAC KATHARINE L HALL
KATHERINE L HALL
KATHERINE KU
KATHARINE L HALL
KATHERINE KU
KATHARINEL HALL
KATHERINE KU
KATHARINE L HALL
KATHERINE KU
KATHARINEL HALL
KATHERINE KU
KATHARINEL HALL
KATHERINE KU
KATHARINE L HALL
KATHERINE KU
KATHARINEL HALL
KATHARINEL
KATHARINEL HALL
KATHARINEL HALL
KATHARINEL
KATHARINEL HALL
KATHARIN

PAUL J KATZ
JOANTHAM M WEISS
CLISABETH J NEIBAUER
ERIC P TUTTLE
HEATHER E TAKAMASH
KRISTIMA L WILSON
KEVIN A GOLDMAN
ROBIN KALIBAURA
ROBIN KALIBAURA
KETTH RO HAMILTON, II
SORAYA C KELLY
PATRICK ANDERSON
JEFFREY Y WL
YUNZA MILLER
MARK R CONRAC
ZACHARY A BRAM
A LANCE JASPER
ALISSA BRANHAM
ADAM R LAWTON
PETER C REHN
RACHEL L STEIN
RACHEL L STEIN
JEREMY S KROGER
GUY A KIB
STEIN HONG
CUAJHTÉMOC DETEGA
GUY A KIB
ARDN SELJI LOWENSTEIN
DANIEL N ELIZONOO
LAURA D KALIBONIOWE
LAURA DE LUZONOO
LAURA D KALIBONIOWE
LAURA D KROLOWE
LAU

RICHARD D ESBENSHADE! ALLISON B STEIN PETER R TAFTT SUSAN E NASH OF COUNSEL

E LEROY TOLLES

writer's direct line (213) 683-9154 (213) 683-5154 FAX True Dovamto com

VIA EMAIL

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Bill Hughes Yavapai County Attorney's Office 255 East Gurley Street Prescott, Arizona 86301

Re: State v. James Arthur Ray

Dear Bill:

I write in response to your letter demanding that we withdraw the subpoenas duces tecum issued by the Court on March 16, 2010 to various hospitals, emergency responders, and fire departments who treated participants in the October 2009 sweat lodge and the coroner departments which conducted the autopsies of the decedents. The State not only lacks standing to make such a demand, but I believe you have misinterpreted Arizona law regarding a defendant's due process right to conduct an independent pretrial investigation of the charges for which he stands accused.

First, the subpoenas *duces tecum* are directed to third parties who are neither State agents nor governmental agencies under the prosecution's direction or control. For that reason alone, the State does not have standing to move to quash the subpoenas, let alone demand their withdrawal, and the defense is not required to request the information sought from the State under Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure 15.1.

Rule 15.1 governs disclosure only of material and information that are in the possession or control of the prosecutor and its staff, any law enforcement agency which has

Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP Bill Hughes March 22, 2010 Page 2

participated in the investigation of the case and that is under the prosecutor's direction or control. or "any other person who has participated in the investigation or evaluation of the case <u>and</u> who is under the prosecution's direction or control." Ariz. Rules of Crim. Proc. 15.1(f) (emphasis added). The hospitals, emergency responders, and fire departments treated participants not for the purpose of aiding the State in the investigation or evaluation of its case, but because the participants needed medical assistance. Moreover, we do not believe hospitals, emergency responders, fire departments, or coroners, including the Coconino Medical Examiner which is outside Yavapai County, are under the prosecution's direction or control. Thus. Rule 15.1 does not require the defense to seek the requested material and information from the State.

Your reliance on *Carpenter v. Superior Court*, 176 Ariz. 486 (1993) is also misplaced. The court in *Carpenter* held that a defendant may not subpoena *police reports* from a *police department*, because "a law enforcement agency investigating a criminal action operates as an arm of the prosecutor for purposes of obtaining information that falls within the required disclosure provisions of Rule 15.1." *Carpenter*, 176 Ariz. at 490. Indeed, while the court of appeals affirmed the trial court's order quashing the subpoena *duces tecum* directed at the police department, it vacated the trial court's order precluding the defense "from directing any subpoena *duces tecum* to any third party." *Id.* at 488. Rule 15.1(g), formerly Rule 15.1(e), specifically recognizes a defendant's right to discover evidence material to the case that are in the possession of "a private party or governmental agency not subject to the prosecutor's control." *Id.* at 489. We intend to make the appropriate motion under Rule 15.1(g) only if we are "unable without undue hardship to obtain the substantial equivalent by other means." Ariz. Rules of Crim. Proc. 15.1(g). However, if the State is offering to obtain and disclose the information and material sought, the defense would gladly accept.

Lastly, I believe the State's accusation that defense counsel has committed the misdemeanor crime of simulating legal process, in violation of Arizona Revised Statutes § 13-2814, is simply unwarranted and injects unnecessary acrimony into this litigation. You are well-aware that the Court approved and issued the subpoenas on March 16, 2010. For the State to suggest that defense counsel knowingly sent or delivered a "document falsely purporting to be an order or other document that simulates civil or criminal process," Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 13-2814, is beyond careless.

Sincerely,

Truc T. Do

Do, Truc

From: Bill Hughes [Bill Hughes@co yavapai.az us]

Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 3.18 PM

To: Do. Truc

Cc: Li, Luis, 'tkkelly@thomaskkellypc.com', Sheila Polk

Subject: RE: State's Motion to Quash

Truc, I'm sorry we weren't able to reach an agreement on this issue. Without an agreement regarding future use of subpoenas *duces tecum*, withdrawing the motion will only temporarily forestall this issue from reoccurring. Although the State will not withdraw the motion, we will continue our efforts to obtain and disclose the medical records discussed in my 3/31/2010 email.

Bill Hughes

From: Do, Truc [mailto:truc.do@mto.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 01, 2010 11:48 AM

To: Bill Hughes

Subject: FW: State's Motion to Quash

Hi Bill,

Can we reach a decision on this issue today? Thanks much

Truc

From: Do, Truc

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 4:47 PM

To: 'Bill Hughes'; Sheila Polk

Cc: Li, Luis; 'tkkelly@thomaskkellypc.com'; Brian, Brad

Subject: RE: State's Motion to Quash

Bill,

Thank you for your response. Based on your representations, it would appear that we have received medical records from the State that are co-extensive with the information sought in the March 16, 2010 subpoenas *duces tecum*. For that reason alone, I think we agree the issue before us is moot and, in the interest of conserving judicial economy, the defense agrees to take reasonable steps to withdraw the March 16, 2010 subpoenas in conjunction with the State's withdrawal of its motion to quash. Based on your representations, we will also rely on the State to obtain and disclose the medical records of any participant treated as a result of his/her participation in the October 8, 2009 sweat lodge.

However, we cannot agree to condition this resolution on a future bar of all subpoenas duces tecum without a court order authorizing the issuance of the subpoenas. For one thing, we strongly disagree with you that these subpoenas are in any way improper. In any event, that is not the issue before the Court. As you know, the Court of Appeals in Carpenter vacated the trial court's blanket order precluding the defense "from directing any subpoena duces tecum to any third party" as overbroad. Carpenter, 176 Ariz. 486 at 488 (1993).

Let's simply agree this current dispute is moot so that both parties can move on to the merits of the case. Thanks much.

Regards,

Truc

From: Bill Hughes [mailto:Bill.Hughes@co.yavapai.az.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 3:07 PM

To: Do, Truc; Sheila Polk

Cc: Li, Luis; 'tkkelly@thomaskkellypc.com' Subject: RE: State's Motion to Quash

Truc,

The State has disclosed to you all of the medical records we have received to date. We will continue to disclose any new records as we receive them

We will contact Rosemary Senjem, Teresa Grain, Melinda Martin, and Marta Reis and inquire whether they will give us releases for their medical records for treatment pertaining to the sweat lodge incident at the Verde Valley Medical Center, Sedona Medical Center, or Flagstaff Medical Center. Provided we receive the releases, we will obtain and disclose any such medical records

We believe there may be additional medical records pertaining to Elizabeth Neuman's treatment. We are seeking a medical records release for her remaining medical records, and will provide you with copies of any additional Elizabeth Neuman medical records when we receive them

Regarding the motion to quash, we will withdraw the motion on the following conditions. 1) you agree to take reasonable steps to withdraw any subpoena *duces tecum* the defense caused to be issued; and 2) you agree not to utilize subpoenas *duces tecum* in the future without a court order authorizing the issuance of the subpoenas Please advise if this is acceptable.

Thank you,

Bill Hughes

From: Bill Hughes

Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2010 9:49 AM

To: 'Do, Truc'; Sheila Polk

Cc: Li, Luis; tkkelly@thomaskkellypc.com Subject: RE: State's Motion to Quash

Truc, I'll let you know our response by the end of today.

Bill Hughes

From: Do, Truc [mailto:truc.do@mto.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 1:01 PM

To: Bill Hughes; Sheila Polk

Cc: Li, Luis; tkkelly@thomaskkellypc.com Subject: State's Motion to Ouash Bill,

I completed my review of the State's Second Supplemental Disclosure on March 26, 2010, which I was unaware of and did not receive until March 22, 2010. With a few exceptions, it appears that the State's disclosure is co-extensive with the information sought in the subpoenas *duces tecum* issued by the Court on March 16, 2010. The State did not provide medical records of Rosemary Senjem, Teresa Grain, Melinda Martin, and Marta Reis --- who were also treated at Verde Valley Medical Center, Sedona Medical Center, or Flagstaff Medical Center according to YCSO's reports. If you will verify the medical records disclosed to date are complete and inquire as to the existence of the medical records for these four participants, the dispute seems moot. Do you intend to pursue the motion the quash or can we resolve this without taking up the Court's time?

Regards,

Truc

Truc T. Do : Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP

355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071

Tei: 213.683.9154 | Fax: 213.683.5154 | truc.do@mto.com | www.mto.com

* * * NOTICE * * *

This message is confidential and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized person. If you have received this message in error, do not read it. Please delete it without copying it, and notify the sender by separate e-mail so that our address record can be corrected. Thank you.